



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,495	05/04/2001	Denis Khoo	6000-005-52	8842
47604	7590	07/17/2007	EXAMINER	
DLA PIPER US LLP			LE, KHANH H	
P. O. BOX 9271			ART UNIT	
RESTON, VA 20195			PAPER NUMBER	
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2007	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/849,495

Applicant(s)

KHOO ET AL.

Examiner

Khanh H. Le

Art Unit

3622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khanh H. Le.

(3) Ki Kim for Applicants.

(2) Dale Lazar for Applicants.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28,40 and 45.


Identification of prior art discussed: Logan US 5721827; Garg, US 6571216.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) removal of "supply and demand for each user depending on" was suggested by Mr Kim and Lazar. Examiner agrees that would remove the 35 USC 112 ,1st and 2nd paragraphs issues as indicated in the Office Action (OA) mailed 04/05/2007 at page 3, paragraph.9

2) Applicants take issue with the last OA not addressing the alternative branch of the "providing" step as set forth in the OA at page 5. The Examiner suggests to either put the arguments on that issue in the Response or to call back for another interview where the Primary Examiner, Mr. Champagne would be present. Examiner Le does not agree the argument presented at the interview was persuasive. 3) Examiner Le pointed out that even if the 2nd branch of the "providing" step was considered, prior art had been applied earlier to language as now proposed, namely the choice compensation being based on the demographics of the user. See OA's mailed 03/14/05 or 12/06/05, or 08/23/06. 4) Mr Kim and Mr. Lazar agree to reconsider the prosecution history of this application and that of application 09/625832 (now Pat.# 7124091) before filing a response or requesting another interview .